

3147  
Kathy Cooper

RECEIVED  
IRRC

**From:** Theresa Hunt <theresa.nicole.hunt@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, May 04, 2016 10:51 PM  
**To:** IRRC  
**Subject:** IRRC #3146 & 3147

2016 MAY -5 AM 9:02

Many parents in Pittsburgh are opposed to decreasing the provisional period for student enrollment from 240 days to 5 days in reference to IRRC #3146 & 3147.

While we support shortening the provisional period in an effort to correct reporting failures and ascertain accurate data, we find this change to be extreme. NO nearby states have such short provisional periods; their average is 58 days. Five days is not enough time to schedule appointments or for students who may be sick to recover before getting vaccinated. Parents will face stress and unnecessary expense as they make appointments and submit paperwork. A 60 day provisional period will give parents and sick children time to meet the requirements without undue stress. Given the later reporting date, a 60 day provisional period would not interfere with school data collection and analysis.

Furthermore, parents are opposed to the inclusion of Pertussis vaccine for kindergarten admission. We are currently seeing outbreaks of pertussis among fully vaccinated populations. The CDC and top doctors are verifying the lack of efficacy and the early waning of any immunity provided by this vaccine. In February 2016, The American Academy of Pediatrics published that Tdap provided moderate defense against the illness (pertussis) during the first year after vaccination but not much longer. Immunity waned during the second year, and little protection remained 2 to 3 years after vaccination. It seems hasty to add a vaccine that is currently under scrutiny from the medical community to the requirements.

Meningitis and Tdap vaccines are pharmaceutical products that carry a risk of injury or death, a fact that was acknowledged by the U.S. Congress in 1986 when it passed the National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act. Since 1988, the federal vaccine injury compensation program created under that law has awarded more than \$3.2 billion to children and adults injured by vaccines or to families whose loved ones died from vaccine reactions, although two out of three who apply are denied compensation. The Institute of Medicine in a series of reports on vaccine safety spanning 25 years has acknowledged there is individual susceptibility to vaccine reactions for genetic, biological and environmental reasons that have not been fully defined by science, and doctors often cannot predict ahead of time who will be harmed. Long standing gaps in vaccine safety research and emerging evidence that certain vaccines do not prevent infection or transmission of disease, urgently require legal protection of physician's rights and parental rights regarding medical and religious exemptions to vaccination for minor children.

Vaccine Manufacturers for Meningitis Vaccines Have No Civil Liability. The 1986 law partially shielded drug companies selling vaccines in the U.S. from civil liability and, in 2011, the US Supreme Court completely shielded vaccine manufacturers from liability for FDA licensed and CDC recommended vaccines. There is no product liability or accountability for pharmaceutical companies marketing federally recommended and state mandated vaccines that injure Americans or cause their death, which makes flexible medical and non-medical vaccine exemptions in vaccine policies and laws the only way Americans can protect themselves and their children from vaccine risks and failures.

Lastly, we propose the DOH to edit the current regulations by eliminating separate listings for measles, mumps, rubella, tetanus, diphtheria, and pertussis vaccines that are currently most commonly consumed as combination shots. Instead, they will only be listed in the regulations in their combination forms - MMR and

TDaP. Evidence of Immunity is different for some of the vaccines and the proposed regulations are unclear. We oppose this change.

We feel that all antigens should be listed individually. This will simplify the amendment process should these combinations change in the future. We also want to ensure accuracy in data collection and publication. Some of these vaccines are still available singularly, and so listing each antigen individually is best and should not be changed. Each disease should individually list what can be given as evidence of immunity.

Thank you for your time and support!

--

Kind Regards,

**Theresa Hunt**  
Registered Dietitian Nutritionist  
Pittsburgh, PA  
(832)-492-0273